**WEB Table 1: DIDP Developmental Toxicity, Rats** 

| Species,<br>Strain, and<br>Source                        | Experimental Regimen  | Number <sup>a</sup> | Dose*           | Maternal effects                 | Fetal effects  |
|--|---|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Sprague-<br>Dawley Rat<br>Waterman et<br>al. 1999<br>(2) | Prenatal developmental toxicity study. DIDP administered in oil by gavage on gd 6–15. Sacrificed on gd 21. Dams weighed on gd 0, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, and 21. Maternal uterus and ovaries were weighed, corpora lutea were counted and implantation sites examined. Fetuses were weighed, sexed, and | 25<br>22<br>24      | 0<br>100<br>500 | NOAEL                            | NOAEL <sup>b</sup> † % Fetuses with cervical ribs (6.2 vs 1%).  † % Fetuses with lumbar ribs (21.2 vs 8.2%).   |
|  | examined for gross external malformations. Half of the fetuses were examined for visceral malformations and the other half for skeletal malformations.  | 24                  | 1,000           | ↓ Weight gain.<br>↓ Food Intake. | ↑% Litters with cervical ribs (41.7 vs 8%). ↑% Litters with lumbar ribs (95.8 vs 40%). ↑% Fetuses with cervical ribs (9.2 vs 1.0%). ↑ Fetuses with lumbar ribs (52 vs 8.2%). |

<sup>\*</sup>Dose measured in mg/kg/bw/day. aNumber of litters examined.

NE=No Effect

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>NOAEL selected by Expert Panel is lower than study author's selection.

<sup>↑=</sup>Statistically Significant Increase ↓=Statistically Significant Decrease

WEB Table 2: DIDP Developmental Toxicity, Rats

| Species, Strain, and                      | <b>Experimental Regimen</b>   | Number <sup>a</sup> | Dose*                   | Maternal Effects  | Fetal Effects   |
|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| Source Wistar Rat Hellwig et al. 1997 (I) | Prenatal developmental toxicity study. DIDP administered in oil by gavage on gd 6–15. Dams weighed on gd 0, 6, 10, 15, and 20 and sacrificed on gd 20. Maternal uteri were weighed, corpora lutea were counted and implantation sites examined. Fetuses were weighed and examined for gross external malformations. Half of the fetuses were examined for visceral malformations and the other half for skeletal malformations. | 10<br>8<br>7<br>10  | 0<br>40<br>200<br>1,000 | NE NOAEL  †Liver to body weight ratios. Vaginal hemorrhage in 3 dams. ↓Food intake. | NOAEL <sup>b</sup> †Fetuses/litter with variations (38 vs 24%).  †Fetuses/litter with variations (44 vs 24%). †Cervical ribs (15 fetuses in 6 litters vs 1 fetuses). †14 <sup>th</sup> ribs (21 fetuses in 8 litters vs 1 fetus). |
|   |   |                     |                         |   |   |

<sup>\*</sup>Dose measured in mg/kg/bw/day.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of litters examined.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>NOAEL selected by Expert Panel is lower than study author's selection.

<sup>↑=</sup>Statistically Significant Increase ↓= Statistically Significant Decrease

**Table WEB-3: DIDP Reproductive Toxicity, Rats** 

| Species, Strain,   | Experimental Regimen  | Number <sup>a</sup> | Dose*   | Parental Effects**   | Offspring Effects**   |
|--|---|---------------------|---|--|---|
| and<br>Source  |   |                     |   |  |   |
| Crl:CDBR, VAF Plus<br>Rats  Exxon Biomedical<br>1997 (3) | Two-generation reproductive toxicity study.  DIDP administered in feed for 10 weeks prior to mating at levels of 0, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.8%.  Males treated through mating period and females through gestation and lactation.  Body weight and food intake was measured weekly.  Estrous cycles were evaluated.  F <sub>0</sub> dams were killed at the end of lactation and males were | 30                  | 0<br>103–198 / 127–203 /<br>131–149 / 172–361<br>211–405 / 253–416 /<br>262–287 / 359–734 | ↓Normal sperm in $F_0$ (<1.4%).<br>↑Liver hypertrophy in $F_0$ .<br>↑Kidney to body weight ratio in $F_0$ males.<br>↓Normal sperm in $F_0$ (<1.4%).<br>↑Epididymis to body weight ratio in $F_0$ .<br>↑Liver to body weight ratio with hypertrophy in $F_0$ .<br>↑Kidney to body weight ratio in $F_0$ .<br>↑Stomach lesions in $F_0$ females.   | ↑Liver to body weight ratio (F) with hypertrophy in F₁. Delayed vaginal opening in F₁ (33.5 vs 32.2 days).  |
|  | killed following birth of last litter. Reproductive and other key organs were examined histologically. Primordial oocytes were counted in females and sperm was evaluated in males.  Details of the second generation breeding experiment are listed on the next page.  | 40                  | 427-781 / 508-775 /<br>524-551 / 641-1,582  | No effects on $F_0$ mating, fertility, fecundity, or gestational indices, no reproductive organ lesions, and no effect on oocyte or sperm counts at any dose. $\downarrow$ Normal sperm in $F_0$ (<1.4%). $\downarrow$ Estrous cycle length in $F_0$ . $\downarrow$ Ovary to body weight ratio in $F_0$ . $\uparrow$ Epididymis and testes to body weight ratio in $F_0$ . $\downarrow$ Weight gain in $F_0$ during lactation. $\uparrow$ Liver to body weight ratio with hypertrophy in $F_0$ . $\uparrow$ Kidney to body weight ratio in $F_0$ with histological changes in males. $\uparrow$ Stomach lesions and thymus atrophy in $F_0$ females. | ↓F₁ pup birthweight.<br>↓F₁ pup survival at birth<br>and pnd 4.<br>↑Liver to body weight<br>ratio with hypertrophy in<br>F₁.<br>Delayed vaginal opening<br>in F₁ (34.2 vs 32.2 days). |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of breeding pairs.

<sup>\*</sup>Doses (in mg/kg bw/day) for: Males during premating / females during premating / females during gestational period / females during lactational period.
\*\*Parental effects are discussed in Section 4 and offspring effects in Section 3.

<sup>↑=</sup>Statistically Significant Increase ↓=Statistically Significant Decrease

Table WEB-3 (cont): DIDP Reproductive Toxicity, Rats

| Species, Strain,   | Experimental Regimen                                    | Number <sup>a</sup> | Dose*               | Parental Effects**                                   | Offspring Effects**                                |
|--------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| and                |   |                     |                     |  |  |
| Source             |   |                     |                     |  |  |
| Crl:CDBR, VAF Plus | Sexual maturation was                                   | 30                  | 0                   |  |  |
| Rats               | monitored in F <sub>1</sub> pups selected               | 30                  | 117-216 / 135-218 / | ↑Liver to body weight ratio (F).                     | $\downarrow$ F <sub>2</sub> pup survival on pnd 1  |
|                    | for second generation breeding.                         |                     | 135–152 / 162–379   | $\uparrow$ Hypertrophy in $F_1$ .                    | and 4.   |
| Exxon Biomedical   | Upon weaning the pups were fed diets with the same DIDP |                     |                     | $\uparrow$ Kidney to body weight ratio in $F_1(M)$ . |  |
| 1997               | concentrations as parental rats.                        |                     |                     |  |  |
| (3)                | The same parameters examined                            | 30                  | 229–437 / 273–433 / | ↑Epididymis and seminal vesicles to body             | $\downarrow$ F <sub>2</sub> pup survival on pnd 1  |
|                    | in the $F_0$ rats were examined in                      |                     | 262–297 / 334–761   | weight ratio in $F_1$ .                              | and 4.   |
|                    | the $F_1$ rats.   |                     |                     | The Liver to body weight ratio in $F_1$ with         | $\uparrow$ Liver hypertrophy in $F_2$              |
|                    | -   |                     |                     | hypertrophy.   | pups.  |
|                    |   |                     |                     | Tkidney to body weight ratio in $F_1$ .              |  |
|                    |   | 30                  | 494–929/ 566–927 /  | No effects on $F_1$ mating, fertility, fecundity,    | $\downarrow$ F <sub>2</sub> pup birthweight.       |
|                    |   | 30                  | 574-611 / 637-1424  | or gestational indices, no reproductive organ        | $\downarrow$ F <sub>2</sub> pup survival on pnd 1, |
|                    |   |                     |                     | lesions, and no effect on oocyte or sperm            | 4, 7 and at weaning.                               |
|                    |   |                     |                     | counts at any dose.                                  | Undescended testes in 4                            |
|                    |   |                     |                     | ↑Epididymis, seminal vesicle, and testes to          | pups.  |
|                    |   |                     |                     | body weight ratio in $F_1$ .                         | ↑Liver hypertrophy in F <sub>2</sub>               |
|                    |   |                     |                     | $\downarrow$ Weight gain in $F_1$ during lactation.  | pups.  |
|                    |   |                     |                     | TLiver to body weight ratio with                     |  |
|                    |   |                     |                     | hypertrophy in $F_1$ .                               |  |
|                    |   |                     |                     | ↑Kidney to body weight ratio in F₁ with              |  |
|                    |   |                     |                     | histological changes in males.                       |  |
|                    |   |                     |                     | $\uparrow$ Thymus atrophy in $F_1$ females.          |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of breeding pairs.

<sup>\*</sup>Doses in mg/kg bw/day for: Males during premating / females during premating / females during gestational period / females during lactational period.

\*\*Parental effects are discussed in Section 4 and offspring effects in Section 3.

<sup>↑=</sup>Statistically Significant Increase

<sup>↓=</sup>Statistically Significant Decrease

Table WEB-4: DIDP Reproductive Toxicity, Rats

| Species, Strain,   | <b>Experimental Regimen</b>  | Number <sup>a</sup> | Dose*                           | Parental Effects**  | Offspring Effects**                            |
|--------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| and                |  |                     |                                 |   |  |
| Source             |  |                     |                                 |   |  |
| Crl:CDBR, VAF Plus | Two-generation reproductive  | 30                  | 0                               |   |  |
| Rats               | toxicity study. DIDP administered in feed for  | 30                  | 12–23 / 14–21/<br>13–15 / 19–37 | NE  | NE   |
| Exxon Biomedical   | 10 weeks prior to mating at  |                     |                                 |   |  |
| 2000               | levels of 0, 0.02, 0.06, 0.2, and  | 30                  | 33-68 / 40-58 /                 | NE  | NE   |
| (4)                | 0.4%. Males treated through  |                     | 39-43 / 57-112                  |   | 1.2  |
|                    | mating period and females  |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | through gestation and lactation.   | 30                  | 114–225 / 139–202 /             | NE  | NE   |
|                    | Body weight and food intake  |                     | 127-147 / 178-377               |   | 1.2  |
|                    | were measured weekly.  |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | F <sub>0</sub> dams were killed and  | 30                  | 233–453 / 274–406 /             | ↑Liver and kidney to body weight ratio.   | No effects on survival,                        |
|                    | necropsied at the end of   |                     | 254-295 / 356-744               | No effects on mating, fertility, fecundity, or gestational indices at any dose. | body weight gain, organ<br>weights, anogenital |
|                    | lactation and males were killed  |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | and necropsied after mating.   |                     |                                 |   | distance, nipple retention,                    |
|                    | Pups were examined for survival and sexual maturation.   |                     |                                 |   | preputial separation,                          |
|                    |  |                     |                                 |   | vaginal opening, or                            |
|                    | One pup/sex/litter was necropsied at pnd 21.   |                     |                                 |   | malformations.                                 |
|                    | Histological examinations were   |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | not conducted.   |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | not conducted.   |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | Details of the second generation   |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | breeding experiment are listed   |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | on the next page.  |                     |                                 |   |  |
|                    | and the property of the proper |                     |                                 |   |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of breeding pairs.

NE=No Effect

<sup>\*</sup>Doses in mg/kg bw/day for: Males during premating / females during premating / females during gestational period / females during lactational period.

\*\*Parental effects are discussed in Section 4 and offspring effects in Section 3.

<sup>↑=</sup>Statistically Significant Increase

Table WEB-4 (cont): DIDP Reproductive Toxicity, Rats

| Species, Strain,                                  | Experimental Regimen  | Number <sup>a</sup> | Dose*   | Parental Effects**  | Offspring Effects**  |
|---|---|---------------------|---|---|--|
| and   |   |                     |   |   |  |
| Source  |   |                     |   |   |  |
| Crl:CDBR, VAF Plus<br>Rats  Exxon Biomedical 2000 | Upon weaning the pups were fed diets with the same DIDP concentrations as parental rats. The remaining details are as described for the 1 <sup>st</sup> generation. | 30<br>39            | 0<br>32/32/11–26/<br>14–25/<br>13–15/19–40              | NE  | NE   |
| (4)   | described for the 1 generation.   | 30                  | 94 / 95/ 33–76 /<br>41–77 /<br>38–44 / 52–114           | NE  | NE   |
|   |   | 30                  | 313 / 313 / 114–254 /<br>137–266 /<br>134–151 / 166–352 | ↑Kidney to body weight ratio in (M). ↑Liver to body weight ratio (F).   | ↓Pup survival on pnd 1<br>and 4.<br>↓ Pup body weight on pnd<br>14 (F) and pnd 35(M).  |
|   |   | 30                  | 635 / 645 / 235–516 /<br>271–524 /<br>256–286 / 356–747 | ↑Kidney to body weight ratio (M). ↑Liver to body weight ratio. No effects on mating, fertility, fecundity, and gestational indices at any dose. | ↓Pup survival on pnd 1     and 4.     ↓ Pup body weight on pnd     14 , pnd 21 (F), pnd 28     (M), and pnd 35(M).     ↑Liver to body weight     ratio (F).     ↑Age of preputial     separation (+1.2 days).     No effects on anogenital     distance, nipple retention,     or vaginal opening, and no     malformations. |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of breeding pairs.

NE=No Effect

↑=Statistically Significant Increase

<sup>\*</sup>Doses (in mg/kg bw/day) for: Males during first two weeks post weaning / females during first two weeks post weaning / males during premating / females during gestational period / females during lactational period.

\*\*Parental effects are discussed under Section 4 and offspring effects under Section 3.

## **References:**

- 1. Hellwig J, Freudenberger H, Jackh R. Differential prenatal toxicity of branched phthalate esters in rats. Food Chem Toxicol 35:501-512(1997).
- 2. Waterman SJ, Ambroso JL, Keller LH, Trimmer GW, Nikiforov AI, Harris SB. Developmental toxicity of di-isodecyl and di-isononyl phthalates in rats. Reprod Toxicol 13:1-6(1999).
- 3. Exxon Biomedical Sciences Incorporated. Two generation reproduction toxicity study in rats with di-isodecyl phthalate (DIDP; MRD-94-775). East Millstone, NJ: Exxon Chemical Company; Exxon Chemical Europe, Inc., 1997.
- 4. Exxon Mobil Biomedical Incorporated. Two generation reproduction toxicity study in rats with MRD-94-775. Project Number: 1775355A. East Millstone, NJ: Exxon Mobil Chemical Company, Inc.; Exxon Mobil Chemical Europe, Inc., 2000.